

From: [Pawelek, Robert](#)
To: [Amy Lueders](#); [Aden Seidlitz](#)
Cc: [Gibson, Michael](#)
Subject: RE: APD status
Date: Thursday, April 13, 2017 10:54:31 AM

Good Morning Amy

Earlier this week, Oklahoma Archaeological Survey (OAS) requested more information regarding the Hines project.

On Wednesday, 12 April, we met with the SHPO reviewer and OAS. Upon learning that the well pad construction had begun (in order to avoid lease expiration), the SHPO reviewer indicated that she would pull her letter/comments; directing us to contact ACHP to provide information regarding the project and the timelines.

Not long after this meeting, the SHPO reviewer called our archaeologist to inform her that the SHPO was going to allow the concurrence letter from 3 April to stand.

Our archaeologist then received word from OAS stating that they are deferring comments on the project effects to the SHPO. We have confirmed that we do in fact have concurrence on the project and may move forward with the EA and APD.

My AFM-Resources and I will still discuss the matter with ACHP this morning as directed, but we shall explain that a resolution has been found.

Throughout this ordeal, my staff and I have kept up good comm with the Reg Compliance shop of Cimarex,

On 5 April, we had Cimarex over for an after-action review, which proved very productive and proactive. We were provided with their APD schedule through the end of the fiscal year, as well as their APD priority list.

***RW Pawelek
Field Manager
Oklahoma Field Office
Bureau of Land Management
(405) 579-7110***

On Wed, Apr 12, 2017 at 8:04 PM, Amy Lueders <alueders@blm.gov> wrote:

Robert- could you send me a short summary of where u are with the Cimarex, what steps u have taken(sundry/AAR) including a short summary of AAR-particularly any steps moving forward to improve future process and current status of APD. Thx

Sent from my iPhone